

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,720	11/06/2000	Robert J Briscoe	36-1385	5331
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 10/30/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	VIG, NARESH		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/674,720	BRISCOE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Naresh Vig	3629			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au	ugust 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	tet(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) te of Draftsperson's Patement(s) (PTO/SB/08) te No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in reference to communication received 14 August 2007. Claims 1 – 25 are pending for examination.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 - 12, 14 - 19 and 21 - 22, drawn to operating of a communications network customer terminal automatically varies a tariff for network usage by the customer terminal depending on network loading as detected at the customer terminal. A tariff algorithm is distributed to plurality of terminals, and, at each terminal, using the tariff, a charge for network usage is calculated by the terminal. A network operator detects discrepancy by intermittently samples traffic between the customer terminal and the network, compares a charge calculated by the customer terminal and an expected charge.

Group II, claim(s) 13 and 20, drawn to operating a communications network by applying to customer terminals a tariff for network usage by each of the customer terminals; locally varying the tariff at one or more of the customer terminals with time; calculating a charge for network usage by the one or more of the customer terminals using its locally varying tariff; selecting a period of time for which the tariff is to be fixed; and paying a premium depending on the duration of the period.

Group III, claim(s) 23 – 25, drawn to operating of a communications network having a global amount of network loading, by observation of current status of network congestion by the customer terminal; automatically adjusting a tariff for usage of the communications network based on the detected local observation; calculating a charge for the network usage by the customer terminal using the tariff wherein <u>customer</u> terminal counts the number of data packets sent or received across a network interface with the customer terminal.

The inventions listed as Groups I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I has a separate utility such as distributing of a tariff algorithm is to plurality of terminals, and, at each terminal, using the tariff, a charge for network usage is calculated by the terminal. Also, there is detection discrepancy by intermittently

Art Unit: 3629

samples traffic between the customer terminal and the network, comparing a charge calculated by the customer terminal and an expected charge.

Group II has separate utility such as applying to customer terminals a tariff for network usage by each of the customer terminals; locally varying the tariff at one or more of the customer terminals with time;

Group III, has separate utility such as customer terminal counting the number of data packets sent or received across a network interface with the customer terminal. Detection of network congestion for Group III requires different utility which is different than the utility required for detecting network congestion for Groups I & II.

Telephone interview was not conducted due to complexity of the restriction requirement and since the examiner knows from past experience that an election will not be made by telephone.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not Application/Control Number:

09/674,720

Art Unit: 3629

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

09/674,720 Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is (571) 272-6810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Naresh Vig Examiner

HarohVieg

Art Unit 3629

October 29, 2007